ED 389 024 CS 509 082

AUTHOR Kruckeberg, Dean

TITLE Public Relations Education and Outcomes Assessment:

An Immediate Challenge for Educators.

PUB DATE 8 Aug 95

NOTE 15p.; Paper presented at the Annual Meeting of the

Association for Education in Journalism and Mass Communication (78th, Washington, DC, August 9-12,

1995).

PUB TYPE Viewpoints (Opinion/Position Papers, Essays, etc.)

(120) -- Historical Materials (060) --

Speeches/Conference Papers (150)

EDRS PRICE MF01/PC01 Plus Postage.

DESCRIPTORS *College Outcomes Assessment; *Educational History;

*Educational Objectives; Higher Education; *Outcomes

of Education; Program Effectiveness; *Public Relations; Student Development; Undergraduate

Students

IDENTIFIERS Professional Concerns

ABSTRACT

It is unlikely that Harvard University (Massachusetts) considered "outcomes assessment" for its first class of nine students in 1640, and most certainly the oldest institution of higher learning in the United States did not offer a public relations curriculum. A short history of education in the United States shows increasing numbers of students attending college, while interest shifts from Ancient Greek Classics to science and math. Although the United States is recognized as the leader of education in the world today, criticism of the educational system is widespread. Indeed, to many it appears that students have been entering college unprepared and that they are leaving 4 years later with a degree but little value added. During the past decade, consequently, demands for accountability have resulted in the mandate for "outcomes assessment" to better measure what students are learning. Misguidedly, educators in public relations have not been much involved in discussions about outcomes assessment. Outcomes assessment could do much for public relations. For a professional community, outcomes assessment should occasion deliberation about what public relations is, together with appropriate definitional parameters. Educators need to consider what knowledge and skills practitioners must have and what are suitable valid and reliable measurements to determine whether a practitioner has these skills. Make no mistake about it, discussions of outcomes assessment will determine the agenda of universities into the next century. (Contains 35 notes and 30 references.) (TB)

26. The start of t



^{*} Reproductions supplied by EDRS are the best that can be made

ASSOCIATION FOR EDUCATION IN JOURNALISM

AND MASS COMMUNICATION

"Public Relations Education

a n d

"PERMISSION TO REPRODUCE THIS MATERIAL HAS BEEN GRANTED BY

D Kunchinburg

TO THE EDUCATIONAL RESOURCES INFORMATION CENTER (ERIC)."

Outcomes Assessment:

An Immediate Challenge

For Educators"

U.S. DEPARTMENT OF EDUCATION Office of Educational Research and Improvement EDUCATIONAL RESOURCES INFORMATION / CENTER (ERIC)

- This document has been reproduced as received from the person or organization originating it.
- Minor changes have been made to improve reproduction quality
- Points of view or opinions stated in this document do not necessarily represent official OERI position or policy

By Dr. Dean Kruckeberg, APR, Fellow PRSA
Professor and Coordinator,
Public Relations Degree Program
Department of Communication Studies
University of Northern Iowa
Cedar Falls, Iowa 50614-0357

Presented in the Program:

Teaching Challenges and Creative Solutions in Advertising and Public Relations

7-9:30 p.m. Tuesday, Aug. 8, 1995

At the Annual Convention
of the Association for Education in Journalism
and Mass Communication

Grand Hyatt Washington 1000 H Street Washington, D. C. 20001

Tuesday, Aug. 8, through Sunday, Aug. 13, 1995



"Public Relations Education and Outcomes Assessment: An Immediate Challenge for Educators"

INTRODUCTION

It is unlikely Harvard had considered "outcomes assessment" for its first class of nine students in 1640, and most certainly the oldest institution of higher learning in the United States didn't offer a public relations curriculum.

However, for many of the 3,300 colleges and universities in the United States today--with their 14 million students in what has become a \$100 billion industry¹--formalized "outcomes assessment" has become a requisite concern. Furthermore, hundreds of educators at many of these institutions are now having to grapple with how to assess the educational "outcomes" of their public relations students who are planning careers in professional practice.

A BRIEF HISTORY OF U. S. HIGHER EDUCATION

To best understand how "outcomes assessment" relates to public relations education, a brief history is helpful that outlines the evolution of higher education in the United States. Colonial American colleges followed the British tradition, in which a prescribed three-to-four-year course of study earned a bachelor's degree for their students,² most of whom would become ministers, teachers, lawyers and statesmen³ with perhaps a few relegating themselves to the more leisurely occupation of "gentleman."

Students were exposed to a "classical" education. This uniform curriculum began with Latin and Greek (to impose rigor as well as to teach logic, grammar and rhetoric), followed by mathematics, natural philosophy, geology, biology and astronomy. Such preliminary coursework led to studies in philosophy and culminated in a course in moral philosophy--the latter commonly taught by the college president.⁴



¹Clark Kerr in association with Marian L. Gade and Maureen Kawaoka, Troubled Times for American Higher Education: The 1990s and Beyond (Albany, N. Y.: State University of New York Press, 1994), 19.

²Paul Shore, The Myth of the University: Ideal and Reality in Higher Education (Lanham, Maryland: University Press of America, 1992), 142.

³George H. Douglas, Education Without Impact: How Our Universities Fail the Young (New York: Birch Lane Press. 1992), 13.

⁴Charles W. Anderson, Prescribing the Life of the Mind: An Essay on the Purpose of the University, the Aims of Liberal Education, the Competence of

Institutions of higher learning changed greatly during the 19th Century! A rising patriotism in the United States prompted the accusation that a classical education ignored indigenous history and culture.⁵ In the early 1800s, students already were clamoring for more courses in the physical sciences; however, when these classes were adopted, they were oftentimes relegated to separate schools.⁶

Nevertheless, elective courses were added to permit students some discretion in their subject matter; by 1900, most students were allowed to choose a major.⁷ This concept of a range of course offerings that had diffused from Harvard to other U. S. colleges and universities during the latter two decades of the 19th century fostered the belief that larger society could help define a suitable college curriculum.⁸

Such electives also suggested there no longer was an implicit relationship among courses--nor a requisite hierarchy of course progression.⁹ To illustrate this emerging diversity of instruction, Jacoby describes the impact of the Land-Grant Act to broaden the scope of higher education:

War pressures intensified to reform After the Civil the curriculum and to open wider the college gates. The Morrill Act, or Land-Grant Act, passed in the midst of the Civil War, accelerated the collapse of the classical curriculum. as it provided federal support for colleges that included agricultural and mechanical arts, it spurred the shifting of resources from classical studies to sciences and languages. It also facilitated the democratization of higher education.10



Citizens, and the Cultivation of Practical Reason (Madison, Wisconsin: The University of Wisconsin Press, 1993), 31.

⁵Russell Jacoby, Dogmatic Wisdom: How the Culture Wars Divert Education and Distract America (New York: Doubleday, 1994), 95-96.

⁶Ronald D. Simpson and Susan H. Frost, *Inside College: Undergraduate Education for the Future* (New York: Plenum Press, 1993), 118.

⁷Ibid., 35.

⁸ Paul Shore, The Myth of the University: Ideal and Reality in Higher Education, 122.

⁹Charles W. Anderson, Prescribing the Life of the Mind: An Essay on the Purpose of the University, the Aims of Liberal Education, the Competence of Citizens, and the Cultivation of Practical Reason, 31.

^{1 O}Russell Jacoby, Dogmatic Wisdom: How the Culture Wars Divert Education and Distract America, 98.

Curricular offerings of colleges and universities during this era expanded to provide students with educational preparation for a wide range of production-oriented occupations needed in an increasingly industrialized nation during a time when the scientific method was becoming dominant and as America was becoming increasingly heterogeneous.¹

During this time, higher education in the United States experienced its greatest growth within its relatively short history--with the predominance of "classical" colleges and education yielding to the newly defined mission of land-grant schools and research universities.¹

By the 1870s, a particular type of U. S. university had evolved that was distinctly modeled after the German universities. Before the Civil War, the term "university" referred to a college having an affiliated professional school. However, the new university had a revised role and identity. The legacy of undergraduate education was being superseded by a research mission and graduate instruction.

scholarship became the organized the German tradition, production of knowledge--the antithesis of the United States' historic norm in education.¹⁴ Yale awarded the first earned Ph.D. in 1861. quickly followed by like presentation of such research degrees from several other universities. The trend was begun to associate this holder's competence to teach terminal degree with the In 1900, the research universities formed the university level. 15 Association of American Universities to help standardize requirements.16



^{1 1}Clark Kerr in association with Marian L. Gade and Maureen Kawaoka, Troubled Times for American Higher Education: The 1990s and Beyond, 147.

¹ ²lbid., 71.

¹³George H. Douglas, Education Without Impact: How Our Universities Fail the Young, 20.

^{1 4}Charles W. Anderson, Prescribing the Life of the Mind: An Essay on the Purpose of the University, the Aims of Liberal Education, the Competence of Citizens, and the Cultivation of Practical Reason. 23-24.

¹⁵Paul Shore, The Myth of the University: Ideal and Reality in Higher Education, 142.

¹ ⁶Ronald D. Simpson and Susan H. Frost, *Inside College: Undergraduate Education for the Future*, 5.

World War II, the research mission of U. S. higher Following when more to grow during an era continued education knowledge was amassed since 1950 than in all the preceding eons of Additional impetus (and accompanying anxiety) to this civilization.17 was prompted by a singular incident in preoccupation with research the Soviet Union's launch of Sputnik that merged 1957: the allowing satellite--essentially to the Gilley other innovations. among telecommunications industry, observes:

By 1970, the growing power of science and technology had begun to supplant the old industrial economy with a new information-driven economy. (By coincidence, the industrial economy began dominating the agricultural economy nearly a century before--about 1870).¹⁸

The launch of that Soviet satellite did much to shake the United States' complacency and sense of global scholarly superiority; indeed, it took only a year after Sputnik orbited the earth for the U. S. Congress to pass the National Defense Education Act--massively funding education in science, mathematics and languages. Such interest in research, especially in science and technology, continues among U. S. colleges and universities as this nation recognizes the demands of an increasingly technological society. Millard opines that the information-technology revolution has become to the last half of the 20th and the 21st Centuries as was the Industrial Revolution to the 19th and first half of the 20th Centuries.

He's undoubtedly correct! U. S. colleges and universities have continued to support this revolution with the same fervor in their research agendas as has been their legacy in contributing to the nation's economic growth and development since the Civil War.² i



¹⁷lbid., 35.

¹⁸J. Wade Gilley, Thinking About American Higher Education: The 1990s and Beyond (New York: Macmillan Publishing Company, 1991), 1.

¹⁹Russell Jacoby, Dogmatic Wisdom: How the Culture Wars Divert Education and Distract America, 5.

²⁰Richard M. Millard, Today's Myths and Tomorrow's Realities: Overcoming Obstacles to Academic Leadership in the 21st Century (San Francisco: Jossey-Bass Publishers, 1991), 209.

^{2 1}Mary Lindenstein Walshok, Knowledge Without Boundaries: What America's Research Universities Can Do for the Economy, the Workplace, and the Community (San Francisco: Jossey-Bass Publishers, 1995), 163.

U. S. HIGHER EDUCATION TODAY

U. S. colleges and universities also have had an admirable, if not stellar, record of serving their primary constituency, i.e., in providing education for large numbers of students.

These percentages of enrollment among the 18- to 21-year-old national population throughout the years attest to a progressively greater record of service to such traditional students:

3 percent in 1890 of the 18- to 21-year-old population

- 16 percent in 1940
- 30 percent in 1950
- 40 percent in 1990 (50 percent attend at some point in their lives)²

Simpson reports that, from World War II to the 1970s, the traditionally aged college student population dramatically increased, although the numbers were declining by the 1980s.

Nevertheless, data from the 1990 census suggest that the pool of traditionally aged college students will once again increase in the latter 1990s and into the next century. It is estimated that more than 16 million students will attend U. S. colleges and universities by the year 2002.² ³

Today, the United States remains the undisputed global leader in higher education of its citizens.²⁴ Furthermore, Kerr notes that the United States is recognized as the world's center of higher learning. Interestingly, this distinction has shifted throughout history from Greece in the classical age; to the Muslim world in the Middle Ages; then successively to Italy (1540-1610), France (1770-1830), Germany (1810-1920) and the United States (1920-90).

Kerr observes that the pattern since 1540 has been for leadership to last an average of 80 years.²⁵



² Clark Kerr in association with Marian L. Gade and Maureen Kawaoka, Troubled Times for American Higher Education: The 1990s and Beyond, 5-6.

²³Ronald D. Simpson and Susan H. Frost, *Inside College: Undergraduate Education for the Future*, 7.

 ² ⁴Clark Kerr in association with Marian L. Gade and Maureen Kawaoka,
 Troubled Times for American Higher Education: The 1990s and Beyond, 71.
 ² ⁵ lbid., 27.

SOME CONTEMPORARY CRITICISMS OF U. S. HIGHER EDUCATION

U. S. institutions of higher learning--at face value--have had an admirable track record and a proud tradition, both in research and in teaching. But do they enjoy the accolades of a grateful government and people; do taxpayers cheerfully encourage legislators to allot more funding to expand university programs; are students appreciative of the efforts of the cadre of dedicated professors serving them?

The answer is a resounding no! U. S. higher education's proud legacy of accomplishment is not universally lauded, and probably for a plethora of valid reasons. Complaints from many quarters have been forthcoming, particularly in the early 1990s when manifold books and articles attacked the academy and the professoriate.²⁶

Increased public skepticism seemed especially directed toward the research universities, which criticism Walshok says comes in large part from constituents' concern about the willingness and ability of research universities to diffuse its new knowledge to wider communities and in forms amenable to solving contemporary social and economic problems.² 7

But a still more fundamental problem has been present. A range of constituents, especially during the past three decades, have questioned the methods and the very role of higher education in the United States today, i.e., with what is being taught and how it is being taught.

In particular, many constituents have been dissatisfied about university's success with one of its primary products, i.e., dissatisfied have been the those student. Many of students many it appeared that themselves. Indeed, to entering college unprepared and that they were then being awarded their college degrees with apparently very little "value added." it was relatively easy for everyone to pass the buck, blaming those educators preceding them for the failure of the students. Sadovnik observes:



² 6For a sampling of such literature, see the bibliography at the end of this paper.

²⁷Mary Lindenstein Walshok, Knowledge Without Boundaries: What America's Research Universities Can Do for the Economy, the Workplace, and the Community, 25-26.

During the 1960s and 1970s, a growing literature on problem of the underprepared student emerged as significant entered the numbers of this population open Moreover. it became clear that institutions. as underpreparation was not a small problem localized to the new minorities in the system, but was instead endemic to significant numbers of majority students as well, colleges began to direct serious efforts at the amelioration of these deficits.²⁸

If such students were coming to college unprepared, there was not overwhelming confidence that college was doing much for them by the time they were being graduated. If not a panacea to resolve such problems, a better means to at least monitor students' achievement seemed by many to be some type of "outcomes assessment," an oftentimes vague, albeit more comprehensive, measurement of what students were learning.

During the past decade in particular, demands from several quarters for "accountability" have resulted in the mandate for such "outcomes assessment" to better measure what students are learning. was a general feeling that higher education's current assessment methods were inadequate and unidimensional and thereby lacked credibility.²⁹ The National Governor's Association was a major gubernatorial proponent this movement, with the for assessment would be a catalyst to improve educational declaring quality.

Furthermore, they reasoned, such assessment would help define the mission of each institution and would encourage the use of assessment information for program improvement. Today, outcomes assessment is mandated or is about to be mandated in a majority of states.³⁰

Millard warns:



²⁸Alan R. Sadovnik, Equity and Excellence in Higher Education: The Decline of a Liberal Educational Reform (New York: Peter Lang, 1994), 28.

²⁹T. Dary Erwin, Assessing Student Learning and Development: A Guide to the Principles, Goals, and Methods of Determining College Outcomes (San Francisco: Jossey-Bass Publishers, 1991), 4.

³ Olbid., 1-2.

Regardless of what initially may have led to the "new assessment" movement, it has become a fact of academic and institutional life and one that rather clearly links the academic and political communities. As of 1990, forty states required some form of assessment, either by law or as carried out by the higher education agency....³ 1

particularly problematic for Calls for "outcomes assessment" are public relations educators representing an ill-defined. professional field that is taught in a range of departments by faculty having diverse academic and professional backgrounds. Their initial reactions to the mandate for outcomes assessment typically been bemused puzzlement, followed by consternation and finally by apprehension among such educators, who were quick to realize the truth of what Erwin was advising to educators:

To ignore calls for evaluation is to allow other groups to choose methods of assessment, which are sure to influence educational Besides the new accrediting requirements both public and private institutions should pay assessment, heed to discussions about a proposed national standardized testing program. If a nationwide program is implemented, it is institutions will unlikely that the diversities in our if professionals refrain from contributing, then nonprofessionals will go about evaluation unaided.32

public Other warnings have had equal credence among relations admonition and Blanchard's such Christ as communication education programs appear to university committees to be fragmented, peripheral or nonessential to a university's overall to being downsized more susceptible mission, thev are eliminated.33



³ Richard M. Millard, Today's Myths and Tomorrow's Realities: Overcoming Obstacles to Academic Leadership in the 21st Century, 165.

³²T. Dary Erwin, Assessing Student Learning and Development: A Guide to the Principles, Goals, and Methods of Determining College Outcomes, 7.

^{3 3}William G. Christ and Robert O. Blanchard, "Mission Statements, Outcomes, and the New Liberal Arts," in William G. Christ, ed., Assessing Communication Education: A Handbook for Media, Speech & Theatre Educators (Hillsdale, N. J.: Lawrence Erlbaum Associates, 1994), 31.

To a great extent, because public relations is a professional area, although arguably not a profession, public relations educators may not have felt the need to become involved in campus debates about topics issues postmodernism. critical theory and related i.e., university curricula. the concerning the direction of determination of what students need to know as liberally educated individuals within contemporary global society. This is unfortunate; public relations educators should take active part in these debates, representing public relations education.

Tierney says these debates have come primarily from the humanities and liberal arts and, to a certain extent, from the social sciences. At most institutions, engineering and the professional schools, as well as the natural sciences, have not been involved in these discussions except in a peripheral manner.

Tierney says this underscores how vast portions of college and university faculty have absented themselves from the curricular debate because they have fixed definitions of knowledge. Pedagogy and curricula are unjoined for professors in such professional areas, and knowledge pertains singularly to course content.³

CONCLUSION

What "outcomes assessment" will do for public relations is what it undoubtedly was intended to do for educational institutions "professional" community of public programs in general. As a relations educators and practitioners, immediate deliberation will be what public relations is. together determine required appropriate definitional parameters; we also will need to consider what knowledge and skills practitioners must have and what are suitable, i.e., valid and reliable, measurements to determine whether a practitioner has such knowledge and skills.

When asked what a Make no mistake that this will be an easy task! know, typical practitioner should a response is public relations "everything." Professional practice varies tremendously, academic preparation from institution to institution and from disciplinary perspective to disciplinary perspective.



^{3 4}William G. Tierney, Building Communities of Difference: Higher Education in the Twenty-First Century (Westport, Connecticut: Bergin & Garvey, 1993), 18.

Practitioners and educator continue to disagree about what an entrylevel practitioner should have studied and how she should have studied such curricula.

Furthermore, there is a potent effort to generalize curricula in contemporary U. S. colleges and universities; witness the arguments of Blanchard and Christ in their call for the "New Liberal Arts" and the "New Professionalism."^{3 5} In some quarters, there is hue and cry to return undergraduate education to a primary liberal arts orientation, i. e., a program of study that more closely resembles a "classical" education.

Public relations educators collectively--together with public relations practitioners--need to begin discussions immediately about outcomes for public relations students and how to assess such outcomes. It is certainly true that, if we don't do this collectively and with solidarity, others may do it for us.



^{3 5}Robert O. Blanchard and William G. Christ, *Media Education and the Liberal Arts: A Blueprint for the New Professionalism* (Hillsdale, N. J.: Lawrence Erlbaum Associates, 1993).

BIBLIOGRAPHY

Tony M. Allison, "Regional Accrediting Association Requirements and the Development of Outcomes Statements," in William G. Christ, ed., Assessing Communication Education: A Handbook for Media, Speech, & Theatre Educators (Hillsdale, N. J.: Lawrence Erlbaum Associates, 1994).

Charles W. Anderson, Prescribing the Life of the Mind: An Essay on the Purpose of the University, the Aims of Liberal Education, the Competence of Citizens, and the Cultivation of Practical Reason (Madison, Wisconsin: The University of Wisconsin Press, 1993).

Kath Aspinwall, Tim Simkins, John F. Wilkinson and M. John McAuley, *Managing Evaluation in Education: A Developmental Approach* (London and New York: Routledge, 1992).

William H. Bergquist, written in association with Connie Arburua, Jason Bergquist, Katherine Bergquist, Hattie Bishop and Barbara Leigh Smith, Quality through Access, Access with Quality: The New Imperative for Higher Education (San Francisco: Jossey-Bass Publishers, 1995).

William G. Christ and Robert O. Blanchard, "Mission Statements, Outcomes, and the New Liberal Arts," in William G. Christ, ed., Assessing Communication Education: A Handbook for Media, Speech & Theatre Educators (Hillsdale, N. J.: Lawrence Erlbaum Associates, 1994).

David Damrosch, We Scholars: Changing the Culture of the University (Cambridge, Massachusetts: Harvard University Press, 1995).

George H. Douglas, Education Without Impact: How Our Universities Fail the Young (New York: Birch Lane Press, 1992).

Dinesh D'Souza, Illiberal Education; The politics of Race and Sex on Campus (New York: The Free Press, 1991).

T. Dary Erwin, Assessing Student Learning and Development: A Guide to the Principles, Goals, and Methods of Determining College Outcomes (San Francisco: Jossey-Bass Publishers, 1991).

Julius Getman, In the Company of Scholars: The Struggle for the Soul of Higher Education (Austin, Texas: University of Texas Press, 1992).



Dirk C. Gibson, "ASK/PR: An Outcome Perspective on Public Relations Education," *Public Relations Quarterly* (Winter 1992-93): 45-48.

J. Wade Gilley, Thinking about Higher Education: The 1990s and Beyond (New York: Macmillan Publishing Company, 1991).

Richard M. Huber, How Professors Play the Cat Guarding the Cream: Why We're Paying More and Getting Less in Higher Education (Fairfax, Virginia: George Mason University Press, 1992).

Russell Jacoby, Dogmatic Wisdom: How the Culture Wars Divert Education and Distract America (New York: Doubleday, 1994).

Clark Kerr in association with Marian L. Gade and Maureen Kawaoka, Troubled Times for American Higher Education: The 1990s and Beyond (Albany: State University of New York Press, 1994).

Richard M. Millard, Today's Myths and Tomorrow's Realities: Overcoming Obstacles to Academic Leadership in the 21st Century (San Francisco: Jossey-Bass Publishers, 1991).

Cary Nelson and Michael Berube, "Introduction: A Report From the Front," in Michael Berube & Cary Nelson, eds., Higher Education Under Fire: Politics, Economics, and the Crisis of the Humanities (New York: Routledge, 1995).

W. James Popham, Educational Evaluation (Englewood Cliffs, N. J.: Prentice Hall. 1988).

John Rosenbaum, "Assessment: An Overview," in William G. Christ, ed., Assessing Communication Education: A Handbook for Media, Speech & Theatre Educators (Hillsdale, N. J.: Lawrence Erlbaum Associates, 1994).

Alan R. Sadovnik, Equity and Excellence in Higher Education: The Decline of a Liberal Educational Reform (New York: Peter Lang, 1994).

Paul Shore, The Myth of the University: Ideal and Reality in Higher Education (Lanham, Maryland: University Press of America, 1992).

Ronald D. Simpson and Susan H. Frost, *Inside College: Undergraduate Education for the Future* (New York: Plenum Press, 1993).



Robert Solomon and Jon Solomon, Up the University: Re-Creating Higher Education in America (Reading, Massachusetts: Addison-Wesley Publishing Co., 1993).

Stanley J. Spanbauer, with the assistance of Jo Hillman, A Quality System for Education: Using Quality and productivity Techniques to Save Our Schools (Milwaukee, Wisconsin: ASQC Quality Press, 1992).

William V. Spanos, The End of Education: Toward Posthumanism (Minneapolis: University of Minnesota Press, 1993).

William G. Tierney, Building Communities of Difference: Higher Education in the Twenty-First Century (Westport, Connecticut: Bergin & Garvey, 1993).

Mary Lindenstein Walshok, Knowledge Without Boundaries: What America's Research Universities Can Do for the Economy, the Workplace, and the Community (San Francisco: Jossey-Bass Publishers, 1995).

Rudolph H. Weingartner, Undergraduate Education: Goals and Means (Phoenix: Oryx Press, 1993).

Peter Witonski, What Went Wrong with American Education and How to Make it Right (New Rochelle, New York: Arlington House, 1973).

Frank Winston Wylie, "Commentary: Public Relations is Not Yet a Profession," *Public Relations Review*, 20 (1): 1-3, spring 1994: 1-3.

